Exhibit 4

From:	Roger Denning
To:	Van Nort, Diek O.; Finjan-PAN_Fish-Service
Cc:	MoFo-PAN-Finjan
Subject:	RE: Finjan v. PAN - Invalidity of "780, "494, and "926 Patents in View of ESET Decision
Date:	Thursday, May 27, 2021 4:08:49 PM
Attachments:	2021-05-25 Van Nort Ltr to Denning re Dismissal of 780-494-926 Patents.pdf

External Email

Dear Diek:

I am responding to your attached letter of May 25 regarding the '780, '494 and '926 Patents.

In light of Judge Bencivengo's order and final judgment in the *ESET* case—which includes the '780 Patent—Finjan is willing to dismiss all three patents from the ongoing case against Palo Alto Networks. Finjan plans to appeal the judgment in *ESET*, so Finjan will agree to dismiss the three patents from this case without prejudice against asserting them in the future, should the appellate court reverse the judgment in *ESET*.

Please confirm PAN's agreement, and we will prepare the papers.

Thanks.

--Roger

Roger Denning :: Managing Principal **::** Fish & Richardson P.C.
12860 El Camino Real Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92130
858 678 4784 direct **::** 858 752 2546 mobile **::** denning@fr.com
fr.com **::** Bio **::** LinkedIn **::** Twitter

From: Van Nort, Diek O. <DVanNort@mofo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 4:06 PM
To: Roger Denning <denning@fr.com>; Finjan-PAN_Fish-Service <Finjan-PAN_Fish-Service@fr.com>
Cc: MoFo-PAN-Finjan <MoFo-PAN-Finjan@mofo.com>
Subject: Finjan v. PAN - Invalidity of '780, '494, and '926 Patents in View of ESET Decision

[This email originated outside of F&R.]

Roger,

Please see the attached letter.

Diek

==